

Verify and Troubleshoot Basic NAT Connectivity

Contents

[Introduction](#)

[Prerequisites](#)

[Requirements](#)

[Components Used](#)

[Conventions](#)

[Problem](#)

[Ping One Router but not Another Router](#)

[Troubleshooting](#)

[Outside Network Devices Cannot Communicate with Inside Routers](#)

[Troubleshooting](#)

[Checklist for Common Issues](#)

[Translation Not Installed in the Translation Table](#)

[Correct Translation Entry is not Being Used](#)

[NAT Operating Correctly but There Are Still Connectivity Problems](#)

["%NAT System Busy - Try Later"](#)

[Large Translation Table Increases the CPU](#)

[No Entries in the ARP table](#)

[Related Information](#)

Introduction

This document describes how to troubleshoot IP connectivity problems in a NAT environment.

Prerequisites

Requirements

There are no specific requirements for this document.

Components Used

This document is not restricted to specific software and hardware versions.

The information in this document was created from the devices in a specific lab environment. All of the devices used in this document started with a cleared (default) configuration. If your network is live, ensure that you understand the potential impact of any command.

Conventions

For more information on document conventions, refer to the [Cisco Technical Tips Conventions](#).

Problem

This document describes how to troubleshoot IP connectivity problems in a NAT environment by reviewing the next two examples:


1. Ping One Router but not Another Router
2. Outside Network Devices Cannot Communicate with Inside Routers

The next basic steps are helpful to determine if there is a problem in the NAT operations:

1. Verify the configuration, and clearly define what NAT is supposed to achieve. Based on the review, you can determine if there is a problem with the configuration. For information on NAT configuration, refer to [Configure Network Address Translation](#).
2. Verify that correct translations exist in the translation table.
3. Use the **show** and **debug** commands to verify that the translation occurs.
4. Review in detail what happens to the packet, and verify that routers have the correct routing information to forward the packet along.

Ping One Router but not Another Router

In this first scenario, Router 4 can ping Router 5 (172.16.6.5) but not Router 7 (172.16.11.7):



NAT Topology

```
<#root>
```

```
Router4#
```

```
ping 172.16.6.5
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
```

```
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.6.5, timeout is 2 seconds:
```

```
.!!!!
```

```
Success rate is 80 percent (4/5), round-trip min/avg/max = 1/1/2 ms
```

Router4#

```
ping 172.16.11.7
```

Type escape sequence to abort.

Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.11.7, timeout is 2 seconds:

.....

Success rate is 0 percent (0/5)

Router4#

Important considerations about this scenario:

- There are no dynamic Routing Protocols configured at the routers, only static routes are used.
- Router 4 default gateway is Router 6.
- Router 6 is configured with NAT.

<#root>

```
interface GigabitEthernet1
ip address 172.16.11.6 255.255.255.0
```

```
ip nat outside
```

```
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
```

```
!
interface GigabitEthernet2
ip address 172.16.6.6 255.255.255.0
```

```
ip nat outside
```

```
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
```

```
!
interface GigabitEthernet3
ip address 10.10.10.6 255.255.255.0
```

```
ip nat inside
```

```
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
```

```
!
!
ip nat pool test 172.16.11.70 172.16.11.71 prefix-length 24
ip nat inside source static 10.10.10.4 172.16.6.14
ip nat inside source list 7 pool test
```

```
!
ip access-list standard 7
10 permit 10.10.50.4
20 permit 10.10.60.4
30 permit 10.10.70.4
```

Troubleshooting

1. First, you need to determine that NAT works correctly. From the previous configuration, it can be determined that Router 4 IP address 10.10.10.4 is statically translated to 172.16.6.14. You can use the **show ip nat translation** command on Router 6 to verify that the translation does exist in the translation table:

```
<#root>
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
show ip nat translations
```

```
Pro  Inside global      Inside local      Outside local      Outside global
---  172.16.6.14          10.10.10.4        ---                ---
Total number of translations: 1
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

2. Ensure this translation happens when Router 4 sources IP traffic. You can do this in two ways from Router 6. Run a NAT debug or monitor NAT statistics with the **show ip nat statistics** command. Because **debug** commands are the last resort, start with the **show** command.

3. Monitor the counter to ensure it increases as it receives traffic from Router 4. The counter increments every time the translation table is used to translate an address.

4. Clear the statistics, then display the statistics, then try to ping Router 7 from Router 4, and then display the statistics again.

```
<#root>
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
clear ip nat statistics
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
show ip nat statistics
```

```
Total active translations: 1 (1 static, 0 dynamic; 0 extended)
```

```
Outside interfaces:
```

```
  GigabitEthernet1, GigabitEthernet2
```

```
Inside interfaces:
```

```
  GigabitEthernet3
```

```
Hits: 0 Misses: 0
```

```
Expired translations: 0
```

```
Dynamic mappings:
```

```
-- Inside Source
```

```
[Id: 1] access-list 7 pool test refcount 0
```

```
  pool test: id 1, netmask 255.255.255.0
```

```
    start 172.16.11.70 end 172.16.11.71
```

```
    type generic, total addresses 2, allocated 0 (0%), misses 0
```

```
nat-limit statistics:
```

```
max entry: max allowed 0, used 0, missed 0
In-to-out drops: 0 Out-to-in drops: 0
Pool stats drop: 0 Mapping stats drop: 0
Port block alloc fail: 0
IP alias add fail: 0
Limit entry add fail: 0
NAT-Router#
```

After you use the **ping 172.16.11.7** command on Router 4, the NAT statistics on Router 6 are:

```
<#root>
```

```
Router4#
```

```
ping 172.16.11.7
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
```

```
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.11.7, timeout is 2 seconds:
```

```
.....
```

```
Success rate is 0 percent (0/5)
```

```
Router4#
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
show ip nat statistics
```

```
Total active translations: 2 (1 static, 1 dynamic; 1 extended)
```

```
Outside interfaces:
```

```
GigabitEthernet1, GigabitEthernet2
```

```
Inside interfaces:
```

```
GigabitEthernet3
```

```
Hits: 4
```

```
Misses: 1
```

```
Expired translations: 0
```

```
Dynamic mappings:
```

```
-- Inside Source
```

```
[Id: 1] access-list 7 pool test refcount 0
```

```
pool test: id 1, netmask 255.255.255.0
```

```
start 172.16.11.70 end 172.16.11.71
```

```
type generic, total addresses 2, allocated 0 (0%), misses 0
```

```
nat-limit statistics:
```

```
max entry: max allowed 0, used 0, missed 0
```

```
In-to-out drops: 0 Out-to-in drops: 0
```

```
Pool stats drop: 0 Mapping stats drop: 0
```

```
Port block alloc fail: 0
```

```
IP alias add fail: 0
```


```
Limit entry add fail: 0
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

You can see from the **show** commands the number of hits incremented. In a successful ping from a Cisco router, the number of hits increases by ten. The Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP) echoes sent by the source router (Router 4) are translated, and the echo reply packets from the destination router (Router 7) need to be translated as well, for a total of ten hits. The loss of five hits is because the echo replies are not translated or are not sent from Router 7.

Next, see if you can find any reason why Router 7 would not send the echo reply packets to Router 4. At this moment, the next steps have happened:

- Router 4 sends ICMP echo packets with a source address of 10.10.10.4 and a destination address of 172.16.11.7.
 - After NAT takes place, the packet received by Router 7 has a source address of 172.16.6.14 and a destination address of 172.16.11.7.
 - Router 7 needs to reply to 172.16.6.14, and since 172.16.6.14 is not directly connected to Router 7, it needs a route for this network in order to respond.
-



Note: Another option to confirm if the packets are getting to the destination router is to use an Embedded Packet Capture (EPC) or use a debug ip icmp/debug ip packet with an Access List (ACL).

Now, you need to check Router 7's routing table to verify if a route to 172.16.6.14 exists:

```
<#root>
```

```
Router7#
```

```
show ip route
```

```
Codes: L - local, C - connected, S - static, R - RIP, M - mobile, B - BGP
       D - EIGRP, EX - EIGRP external, O - OSPF, IA - OSPF inter area
       N1 - OSPF NSSA external type 1, N2 - OSPF NSSA external type 2
       E1 - OSPF external type 1, E2 - OSPF external type 2, m - OMP
       n - NAT, Ni - NAT inside, No - NAT outside, Nd - NAT DIA
       i - IS-IS, su - IS-IS summary, L1 - IS-IS level-1, L2 - IS-IS level-2
       ia - IS-IS inter area, * - candidate default, U - per-user static route
       H - NHRP, G - NHRP registered, g - NHRP registration summary
       o - ODR, P - periodic downloaded static route, l - LISP
       a - application route
       + - replicated route, % - next hop override, p - overrides from PfR
       & - replicated local route overrides by connected
```

```
Gateway of last resort is not set
```

```
       172.16.0.0/16 is variably subnetted, 2 subnets, 2 masks
C       172.16.11.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
L       172.16.11.7/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
Router7#
```

From the previous output, you can observe that the Router 7 does not have a route for 172.16.6.14 subnet in its routing table. Once this is corrected, and a route is added to the configuration, the ping works. It is useful to monitor NAT statistics with the **show ip nat statistics** command. However, in a more complex NAT environment with several translations, this **show** command is no longer useful, and the use of debugs is needed on the router.

```
<#root>
```

```
Router7#
```

```
configure terminal
```

```
Enter configuration commands, one per line. End with CNTL/Z.
```

```
Router7(config)#
```

```
ip route 172.16.6.0 255.255.255.0 172.16.11.6
```

```
Router7(config)#
```

```
end
```

```
Router7#
```

```
Router4#
```

```
ping 172.16.11.7
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
```

```
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.11.7, timeout is 2 seconds:
```

```
!!!!
```

```
Success rate is 100 percent (5/5), round-trip min/avg/max = 1/2/8 ms
```

```
Router4#
```

```
NAT-Router#
```

```
show ip nat statistics
```

```
Total active translations: 2 (1 static, 1 dynamic; 1 extended)
```

```
Outside interfaces:
  GigabitEthernet1, GigabitEthernet2
Inside interfaces:
  GigabitEthernet3

Hits: 9

  Misses: 1
Expired translations: 0
Dynamic mappings:
-- Inside Source
[Id: 1] access-list 7 pool test refcount 0
  pool test: id 1, netmask 255.255.255.0
    start 172.16.11.70 end 172.16.11.71
    type generic, total addresses 2, allocated 0 (0%), misses 0
nat-limit statistics:
  max entry: max allowed 0, used 0, missed 0
In-to-out drops: 0 Out-to-in drops: 0
Pool stats drop: 0 Mapping stats drop: 0
Port block alloc fail: 0
IP alias add fail: 0
Limit entry add fail: 0
NAT-Router#
```

Outside Network Devices Cannot Communicate with Inside Routers

For this problem, Router 4 can ping both Router 5 and Router 7, but devices on the 10.10.50.0 network cannot communicate with Router 5 or Router 7.

```
<#root>
```

```
Router4#
```


```
ping 172.16.11.7 source 10.10.50.4
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.11.7, timeout is 2 seconds:
Packet sent with a source address of 10.10.50.4
.....
Success rate is 0 percent (0/5)
Router4#
```

```
ping 172.16.6.5 source 10.10.50.4
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.6.5, timeout is 2 seconds:
Packet sent with a source address of 10.10.50.4
.....
Success rate is 0 percent (0/5)
Router4#
```

The network diagram for this problem remains the same:



NAT Topology

<#root>

```
interface GigabitEthernet1
ip address 172.16.11.6 255.255.255.0
ip nat outside
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
!
```

```
interface GigabitEthernet2
ip address 172.16.6.6 255.255.255.0
ip nat outside
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
!
```

```
interface GigabitEthernet3
ip address 10.10.10.6 255.255.255.0
ip nat inside
negotiation auto
no mop enabled
no mop sysid
!
```

```
ip nat pool test 172.16.11.70 172.16.11.71 prefix-length 24
ip nat inside source static 10.10.10.4 172.16.6.14
ip nat inside source list 7 pool test
```

```
!
ip access-list standard 7
10 permit 10.10.50.4
20 permit 10.10.60.4
30 permit 10.10.70.4
```

Troubleshooting

From the configuration of Router 6, you can observe that NAT is supposed to dynamically translate 10.10.50.4 to the first available address in the NAT pool called test. The pool consists of addresses 172.16.11.70 and 172.16.11.71. From this problem, you can understand that the packets that Routers 5 and 7 receive either have a source address of 172.16.11.70 or 172.16.11.71. These addresses are on the same subnet as Router 7, so Router 7 must have a directly connected route to this subnet, however, if it does not already have one, Router 5 needs a route to the subnet .

You can use the **show ip route** command to see that the Router 5 routing table does list 172.16.11.0:

```
<#root>
```

```
Router5#
```

```
show ip route
```

```
Codes: L - local, C - connected, S - static, R - RIP, M - mobile, B - BGP
D - EIGRP, EX - EIGRP external, O - OSPF, IA - OSPF inter area
N1 - OSPF NSSA external type 1, N2 - OSPF NSSA external type 2
E1 - OSPF external type 1, E2 - OSPF external type 2, m - OMP
n - NAT, Ni - NAT inside, No - NAT outside, Nd - NAT DIA
i - IS-IS, su - IS-IS summary, L1 - IS-IS level-1, L2 - IS-IS level-2
ia - IS-IS inter area, * - candidate default, U - per-user static route
H - NHRP, G - NHRP registered, g - NHRP registration summary
o - ODR, P - periodic downloaded static route, l - LISP
a - application route
+ - replicated route, % - next hop override, p - overrides from PfR
& - replicated local route overrides by connected
```

```
Gateway of last resort is not set
```

```
172.16.0.0/16 is variably subnetted, 3 subnets, 2 masks
C    172.16.6.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
L    172.16.6.5/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
S    172.16.11.0/24 [1/0] via 172.16.6.6
```

You can use the **show ip route** command to see that the Router 7 routing table lists 172.16.11.0 as a directly connected subnet:

```
<#root>
```

```
Router7#
```

```
show ip route
```

```
Codes: L - local, C - connected, S - static, R - RIP, M - mobile, B - BGP
D - EIGRP, EX - EIGRP external, O - OSPF, IA - OSPF inter area
N1 - OSPF NSSA external type 1, N2 - OSPF NSSA external type 2
E1 - OSPF external type 1, E2 - OSPF external type 2, m - OMP
n - NAT, Ni - NAT inside, No - NAT outside, Nd - NAT DIA
i - IS-IS, su - IS-IS summary, L1 - IS-IS level-1, L2 - IS-IS level-2
ia - IS-IS inter area, * - candidate default, U - per-user static route
H - NHRP, G - NHRP registered, g - NHRP registration summary
o - ODR, P - periodic downloaded static route, l - LISP
a - application route
```

+ - replicated route, % - next hop override, p - overrides from PfR
& - replicated local route overrides by connected

Gateway of last resort is not set

```
172.16.0.0/16 is variably subnetted, 3 subnets, 2 masks
S    172.16.6.0/24 [1/0] via 172.16.11.6
C    172.16.11.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
L    172.16.11.7/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
```

Check the NAT translation table and verify that the expected translation exists. Since the desired translation is created dynamically, you must first send IP traffic sourced from the appropriate address. After a ping is sent, sourced from 10.10.50.4 and destined to 172.16.11.7, the translation table in Router 6 (NAT Router) shows the next output:


<#root>

NAT-Router#

show ip nat translations

```
Pro Inside global      Inside local      Outside local      Outside global
--- 172.16.6.14          10.10.10.4        ---                ---
---
172.16.11.70          10.10.50.4
---                ---
Total number of translations: 2
```

Since the expected translation is in the translation table, you know that the ICMP echo packets are appropriately translated. One option is that you can monitor the NAT statistics, but that is not useful in a complex environment. Another option is to run NAT debugging on the NAT Router (Router 6). You can run **debug ip nat** while you send a ping sourced from 10.10.50.4 destined to 172.16.11.7. The debug results are in the next code example:

 **Note:** When you use any **debug** command on a router, you could overload the router which causes it to become inoperable. Always use extreme caution, and if possible, look for the assistance of a Cisco TAC before running a debug on a critical production router.

<#root>

NAT-Router#

show logging

```
Syslog logging: enabled (0 messages dropped, 0 flushes, 0 overruns)
  Console logging: level debugging, 39 messages logged
  Monitor logging: level debugging, 0 messages logged
  Buffer logging: level debugging, 39 messages logged
  Trap logging: level informational, 33 message lines logged
```

Log Buffer (4096 bytes):

```
05:32:23: NAT: s=10.10.50.4->172.16.11.70, d=172.16.11.7 [70]
05:32:23: NAT*: s=172.16.11.7, d=172.16.11.70->10.10.50.4 [70]
05:32:25: NAT*: s=10.10.50.4->172.16.11.70, d=172.16.11.7 [71]
05:32:25: NAT*: s=172.16.11.7, d=172.16.11.70->10.10.50.4 [71]
05:32:27: NAT*: s=10.10.50.4->172.16.11.70, d=172.16.11.7 [72]
05:32:27: NAT*: s=172.16.11.7, d=172.16.11.70->10.10.50.4 [72]
05:32:29: NAT*: s=10.10.50.4->172.16.11.70, d=172.16.11.7 [73]
05:32:29: NAT*: s=172.16.11.7, d=172.16.11.70->10.10.50.4 [73]
05:32:31: NAT*: s=10.10.50.4->172.16.11.70, d=172.16.11.7 [74]
05:32:31: NAT*: s=172.16.11.7, d=172.16.11.70->10.10.50.4 [74]
```

Router7#show monitor capture cap buffer brief

```
-----
#  size  timestamp  source      destination  dscp  protocol
-----
0  114    0.000000    172.16.11.70  -> 172.16.11.7  0 BE  ICMP
1  114    2.000000    172.16.11.70  -> 172.16.11.7  0 BE  ICMP
2  114    4.000000    172.16.11.70  -> 172.16.11.7  0 BE  ICMP
3  114    6.001999    172.16.11.70  -> 172.16.11.7  0 BE  ICMP
4  114    8.001999    172.16.11.70  -> 172.16.11.7  0 BE  ICMP
```

As you can see from the previous debug output, the first line shows the source address of 10.10.50.4 translated to 172.16.11.70. The second line shows the destination address of 172.16.11.70 is translated back to 10.10.50.4. This pattern repeats throughout the rest of the debug. This means that NAT Router translates the packets in both directions. Also, from the packet capture it can be seen that Router 7 is indeed receiving the ICMP packets with a source of 172.16.11.70 and a destination of 172.16.11.7.

The subsequent steps are a recap of the current status of this problem:

1. Router 4 sends a packet sourced from 10.10.50.4 destined for 172.16.11.7 (or 172.16.6.5 depending on the test performed).
2. NAT Router performs a NAT translation on the packet and forwards it with a source of 172.16.11.70 and a destination of 172.16.11.7.
3. Router 7 sends a response with a source of 172.16.11.7 and a destination of 172.16.11.70.
4. NAT Router (Router 6) performs NAT on the packet, which results in a packet with source address 172.16.11.7 and destination address 10.10.50.4.
5. NAT Router (Router 6) routes the packet to 10.10.50.4 based on information in its routing table.

At this point, you need to use the **show ip route** and **show ip cef** commands to confirm that NAT Router (Router 6) has the necessary routes in its routing table.

<#root>

NAT-Router#

show ip route

Codes: L - local, C - connected, S - static, R - RIP, M - mobile, B - BGP
D - EIGRP, EX - EIGRP external, O - OSPF, IA - OSPF inter area
N1 - OSPF NSSA external type 1, N2 - OSPF NSSA external type 2
E1 - OSPF external type 1, E2 - OSPF external type 2, m - OMP
n - NAT, Ni - NAT inside, No - NAT outside, Nd - NAT DIA
i - IS-IS, su - IS-IS summary, L1 - IS-IS level-1, L2 - IS-IS level-2
ia - IS-IS inter area, * - candidate default, U - per-user static route
H - NHRP, G - NHRP registered, g - NHRP registration summary
o - ODR, P - periodic downloaded static route, l - LISP
a - application route
+ - replicated route, % - next hop override, p - overrides from PfR
& - replicated local route overrides by connected

Gateway of last resort is not set

```
10.0.0.0/8 is variably subnetted, 2 subnets, 2 masks
C    10.10.10.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet3
L    10.10.10.6/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet3
172.16.0.0/16 is variably subnetted, 6 subnets, 2 masks
C    172.16.6.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet2
L    172.16.6.6/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet2
L    172.16.6.14/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet2
C    172.16.11.0/24 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
L    172.16.11.6/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
L    172.16.11.70/32 is directly connected, GigabitEthernet1
```

NAT-Router#

```
show ip route 10.10.50.4
```

% Subnet not in table

NAT-Router#

```
show ip cef 10.10.50.4
```

0.0.0.0/0

no route

NAT-Router#

After adding the missing route in the NAT Router, the ping is now successful:

<#root>

NAT-Router#

```
configure terminal
```

Enter configuration commands, one per line. End with CNTL/Z.

NAT-Router(config)#

```
ip route 10.10.50.4 255.255.255.255 10.10.10.4
```

NAT-Router(config)#end

NAT-Router#

Router4#

```
ping 172.16.11.7 source 10.10.50.4
```

Type escape sequence to abort.

Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.11.7, timeout is 2 seconds:

```
Packet sent with a source address of 10.10.50.4
!!!!
Success rate is 100 percent (5/5), round-trip min/avg/max = 1/1/2 ms
Router4#

ping 172.16.6.5 source 10.10.50.4
```

```
Type escape sequence to abort.
Sending 5, 100-byte ICMP Echos to 172.16.6.5, timeout is 2 seconds:
Packet sent with a source address of 10.10.50.4
!!!!
Success rate is 100 percent (5/5), round-trip min/avg/max = 1/2/4 ms
Router4#
```

Checklist for Common Issues

Use this checklist to troubleshoot common issues.

Translation Not Installed in the Translation Table

If you find that the appropriate translation is not installed in the translation table, verify:

1. The configuration is correct. It is difficult to get NAT to get what you want sometimes. For some configuration help, refer to [Configure Network Address Translation](#).
2. There are not any inbound access lists that deny the entry of packets from the NAT router.
3. The NAT router has the appropriate route in the routing table if the packet is goes from inside to outside. Refer to [NAT Order of Operation](#) for more information.
4. The access list referenced by the NAT command permits all necessary networks.
5. There are enough addresses in the NAT pool. This can only be a problem if NAT is not configured for congestion.
6. That the router interfaces are appropriately defined as NAT inside or NAT outside.
7. For the translation of the payload of Domain Name System (DNS) packets, ensure that translation takes place on the address in the IP header of the packet. If this does not happen, then NAT does not look into the payload of the packet.

Correct Translation Entry is not Being Used

If the correct translation entry is installed in the translation table, but is not used, check:

1. Verify there are not any inbound access lists that deny entry of the packets from the NAT router.
2. For packets that go from inside to outside, verify there is a route to the destination as this is checked before translation. Refer to [NAT Order of Operation](#) for more information.

NAT Operating Correctly but There Are Still Connectivity Problems

Troubleshoot the connectivity problem:

1. Verify layer 2 connectivity.
2. Verify layer 3 routing information.
3. Search for packet filters that cause the problem.

"%NAT System Busy - Try Later"

The try later error message appears when a **show** command related to NAT or a **show running-config** or **write memory** command is executed. This is caused by the increase in the size of the NAT table. When the size of the NAT table increases, the router runs out of memory.

1. Reload the router in order to solve this issue.
2. This error is usually seen in legacy platforms. It is recommended to keep the device software updated.

Large Translation Table Increases the CPU

A host can send hundreds of translations, which causes high CPU usage. In other words, it can make the table so large that it causes the CPU to run at 100 percent. The **ip nat translation max-entries 300** command creates the 300 per host limit or an aggregate limit of the amount of translations on the router. The workaround is to use the **ip nat translation max-entries all-hosts 300** command.

No Entries in the ARP table

This is a result of the `no-alias` option on the NAT entries. The `no-alias` option means that the router does not respond for the addresses and does not install an ARP entry. If another router uses a NAT pool as an inside global pool that consists of addresses on an attached subnet, an alias is generated for that address so that the router can answer Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) requests for those addresses. This causes the router to have ARP entries for the fake addresses.

Related Information

- [Network Address Translation \(NAT\) FAQ](#)
- [Support & Downloads - Cisco Systems](#)